#### Table of relations of the Démonette database

## Version 2.0 22.02.2023

00 01 02 1
1 2 03
3 3
4
5
6
7
8 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 2
24 2
6 2
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

The table of relations consists of 38 columns, grouped in 6 headings, plus a « commentaires » (comment) column. Each row of the table describes a derivational relation between two lexemes Lex1 and Lex2.

In version 2.0 of the database, columns 20 to 38 are not filled in.

#### Columns 00 to 03

Digital identifiers of the input that describes the relation between Lex1 and Lex2:

- rid: identifier of the relation
- fid: identifier of the family to which Lex1 and Lex2 belong
- lid\_1: identifier of Lex1
- lid\_2: identifier of Lex2

The lexeme identifiers ( $lid_1$ ,  $lid_2$ ) are the same as those of Lex1 and Lex2 in the table of lexemes.

In the following, Lexi refers to Lex1 or Lex2.

For any source, the value of the origin fields (attribute: ori-X) is labelled « nouveau » ("new") for all information newly encoded during the integration process of this source in Demonette.

# **Colonnes 1 à 9**Description formelle de (Lex1, Lex2)

	Attribute	Value	Description
1, 3	graph_1, graph_2	<string></string>	<b>Lexi's (normalized) spelling.</b> The spelling is the same as in the table of lexemes.  Note: If Lexi is an animated noun, the table of relation contains only the derivational relations involving the masculine noun. The relation with the feminine lexeme must be reconstructed from the masculine-feminine correspondence provided by the table of lexemes.
2, 4	ori_graph_1, ori_graph_2	tlfnome denom dimoc converts mordan derif morphonett e demonette1 lexeur verbaction nouveau	The resource(s) Lexi's graphical spelling originates from.  Note: if ori_graph1=ori_graph2, then ori_orientation=ori-graph1=ori-graph2 otherwise, ori-orientation=new For the spellings produced or recoded by Demonette annotators, ori-graphi = nouveau (see above)
5,7	cat_1, cat_2	Nm, Nmp, Nf, Nfp, Nx,	Parts of speech of Lex1 and Lex2  Remark: the gender of proper names is neutralized, and their number is singular: Npx  Nm: masculine common noun (ex: bureau 'desk')  Nmp: masculine common noun with no singular form (ex: cent-lances)

		Npx, V, Adj, Num, Pro, Adv, IJ, Det, Less, More, Ono, Prep	Nf: feminine common noun (ex: table 'table') Nfp: feminine common noun with no singular form (ex: branchies 'gills') Nx: common noun with undeterminate gender (ex: chadel) Npx: proper name (ex: Zénon) V: verb (ex: retrouver 'retrieve') Adj: qualifying or ordinal adjective (ex: lavable 'washable')  Num: cardinal adjective (ex: cent 'hundred') Pro: personal pronoun (ex: chacun 'each') Adv: adverb (ex: lentement 'slowly') IJ: interjection (ex: fichtre 'gosh') Det: determiner (ex: un 'a') Less: bound root (ex: auto-) More: utterance fragment (ex: fort en gueule 'loud mouth') Ono: onomatopeia (ex: gnan 'gna')
6,8	ori_cat_1, ori_cat_2	See line 2,4	Origine resource of the part-of-speech
9	ori_cple	See line 2,4	Origine resource of the (Lex1, Lex2) entry.

#### Columns 10 to 15

## Morphological description of (Lex1, Lex2)

	Attribute	Value	Description
10, 13	type_cstr_1, type_cstr_2	suf, conv,	type_cstr_1: Derivational pattern of Lex1 in its relation with Lex2
	type_estr_ <b>1</b>	pre,	type_cstr_2: Derivational pattern of Lex2 in its relation with Lex1
		comp, pre-suf NA	NA = "non applicable"
			For a given lexeme pair (Lexi, Lexj) or (Lexj, Lexi):
			type_cstr_i=NA says that Lexi is morphologically simple with respect to Lexi type_cstr_i=suf says that Lexi has a suffixed morphological structure with respect to Lexi type_cstr_i=conv says that Lexi is morphologically converted with respect to Lexi type_cstr_i=comp says that the morphological structure of Lexi is that of a (neological) compound word with respect to Lexi type_cstr_i=pre says that Lexi has a prefixed morphological structure with respect to Lexi type_cstr_i=pre-suf says that Lexi has a both prefixed and suffixed morphological structure with respect to Lexi
			Ex: (abaissement, abaisser): type_cstr_1=suf, type_cstr_2 = NA Ex: (abaissement, abaisseur): type_cstr_1=suf, type_cstr_2 = suf Ex: (transporter, transport): type_cstr_1=conv, type_cstr_2 = conv Ex: (rat, dératiser): type_cstr_1=NA, type_cstr_2 = pre-suf Ex: (faire, redéfaire): type_cstr1=NA, type_cstr2= pre
11, 14	cstr_1, cstr_2	Liste des schémas de	The values of cstr_1 and cstr_2 depend on the relation (Lex1, Lex2). In cstr_i, X denotes the stem (or two phonological variations of the stem) shared by Lex1 and Lex2.

		construction:	The feature cstr_i is never empty.
		voir (A)	The minimum value of cstr_i is either X, X <suf>, <pre>X, or <pre>X<suf> cf (A), where <suf> is</suf></suf></pre></pre></suf>
			a suffix and <i><pre></pre></i> is a prefix.
			Ex : (abaissement, abaisser) : cstr_1=Xment, cstr_2 = X
			Ex: (transporter, transport): cstr_1=X, cstr_2 = X
			Ex: (instrument, instrumentaliser) : cstr_1=X, cstr_2= Xaliser
			Ex: (mer, sous-marin): cstr_1=X, cstr_2=sousXin
			Ex: (banalisation, banalisable): cstr_1=Xion, cstr_2= Xable
12,	ori_cstr_1,	See line 2,4	Ressource the description of Lex1 and Lex2 by means of Cstr_1 et Cstr_2 originates from.
15	ori_cstr_2		

(A): cf https://www.demonext.xyz/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/sche%CC%81mas-d-affixes.pdf

#### Columns 16 to 19

### Formal description of the relation between Lex1 and Lex2

	Attribute	Value	Description
16	complexite	simple,	Complexity of the relation between Lex1 and Lex2.
		complexe,	
		motiv-form,	complexite = simple if
		motiv-sem,	- Lex1 is the derivational base of Lex2, or
		accidentel	- if Lex2 is the derivational base of Lex1, or
			- if Lex1 and Lex2 are derived from the same base or belong to derivational series of
			words that derive from the same base
			complexite = accidentel
			- if Lex1 and Lex2 are in a formal relation but not in a derivational relation
			- if the relation is no more motivated
			complexite = motiv-form
			- if Lex1 is the base of Lex2 or Lex2 is the base of Lex1 but the relation is not
			semantically motivated: the semantic motivation occurs somewhere else in the
			derivational family of Lex1 and Lex2
			complexite = motiv-sem
			the relation is semantically but not formally motivated (formal overmarking on Lex1 or Lex2)
			<ul> <li>Particular case: complexite=motiv-sem if Lex1 and Lex2 are synonyms. For instance,</li> </ul>
			- dysharmonique/dysharmonieux: cstr_1=Xique, cstr_2=Xeux,complexite=motiv-sem
			Particular case: complexite=motiv-sem if Lex1 (resp. Lex2) surfaces in Lex2 (resp.
			Lex1) as a suppletive (learnt) stem
			- sel/saulnier: cstr_1=X, cstr_2=Xier, complexite=motiv-sem
			complexite = complexe
			- in any other case : where there is more than one derivational steps between Lex1 and
			Lex2

			Ex: (abaissement, abaisser): complexite=simple Ex: (abaissement, abaisseur): complexite=simple Ex: (mer, sous-marin): complexite=motiv-sem Ex: (marin, sous-marin): complexite=motiv-form Ex: (clarté, déséclaircissement): complexite=complexe Ex: (hôte, hôtel): complexite=accidentel
18	orientation	as2des, des2as, indirect, NA	Orientation of the relation between Lex1 and Lex2  orientation = as2des if Lex1 is a direct derivational ancestor of Lex2  orientation = des2as if Lex1 is a direct derivational descendant of Lex2  orientation = indirect if Lex1 (resp. Lex2) is neither a direct ancestor or descendant of Lex2  (resp. Lex1)  orientation = NA when orientation is undecidable  Ex : (abaissement, abaisser) : orientation= des2as  Ex : (abaissement, abaisseur) : orientation= indirect  Ex : (coller, colle) : orientation= NA
17, 19	ori_complexite, ori_orientation	See line 2,4	Origine resource of the complexity and orientation of the (Lex1, Lex2) relation.

#### Colonnes 20 to 31

The semantic desription is not implemented in the version 2.0 of the database